

Haemate P[®]/Humate-P[®] / Haemwill (name of product in Finland) (Human plasma coagulation factor VIII, human von Willebrand factor)

Public Summary of Risk Management Plan (Extract from the EU Risk Management Plan Version 1.1; 11-Mar-2022)

Part VI: Summary of the risk management plan

Summary of Risk Management Plan for Haemate P (human plasma coagulation factor VIII (FVIII), human von Willebrand factor)

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Haemate P. The RMP details important risks of Haemate P, shows how these risks can be minimized, and how more information will be obtained about Haemate P's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Haemate P's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Haemate P should be used.

I. The medicine and what it is used for

Haemate P is authorized for prophylaxis and treatment of haemorrhage or surgical bleeding, when desmopressin or 1-deamino-8-D arginine vasopressin (DDAVP), treatment alone is ineffective or contra-indicated in patient with VWD. Haemate P is authorized for prophylaxis and treatment of bleeding in patients with hemophilia A. Haemate P may be used in the management of acquired factor VIII deficiency and for treatment of patients with antibodies against factor VIII (see SmPC for the full indication). It contains human plasma coagulation factor VIII (FVIII), human von Willebrand factor (VWF) as the active substance and it is given by injection.

II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimize or further characterize the risks

Important risks of Haemate P, together with measures to minimize such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Haemate P's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimize the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorized pack size the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;
- The medicine's legal status the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimize its risks.

Together, these measures constitute routine risk minimization measures.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analyzed including Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR) assessment so that

immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute routine pharmacovigilance activities.

II.A List of important risks and missing information

Important risks of Haemate P are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimize the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely administered. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Haemate P. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (eg, on the long-term use of the medicine);

List of important risks and missing information	
Important identified risks	 Development of FVIII/VWF inhibitors
	• Thromboembolic events
Important potential risks	• Transmission of infectious agents
Missing information	• Not applicable
EVIII = Human Coaculation Factor VIII VWE = von Willebrand Factor	

FVIII = Human Coagulation Factor VIII, VWF = von Willebrand Factor

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicineData obtained from literature and the company safety database. An important identified risk associated with FVIII/VWF replacement therapy is the development of inhibitors (ie, neutralizing antibodies) against FVIII or VWF, rendering treatment with antihemophilic factors less effective or ineffective. This risk is recognized as being significantly higher in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with	Important identified risk: Development of I	FVIII/VWF inhibitors
medicine company safety database. An important identified risk associated with FVIII/VWF replacement therapy is the development of inhibitors (ie, neutralizing antibodies) against FVIII or VWF, rendering treatment with antihemophilic factors less effective or ineffective. This risk is recognized as being significantly higher in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with		
An important identified risk associated with FVIII/VWF replacement therapy is the development of inhibitors (ie, neutralizing antibodies) against FVIII or VWF, rendering treatment with antihemophilic factors less effective or ineffective. This risk is recognized as being significantly higher in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with	e	company safety database.
development of inhibitors (ie, neutralizing antibodies) against FVIII or VWF, rendering treatment with antihemophilic factors less effective or ineffective. This risk is recognized as being significantly higher in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with		
antibodies) against FVIII or VWF, rendering treatment with antihemophilic factors less effective or ineffective. This risk is recognized as being significantly higher in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with		FVIII/VWF replacement therapy is the
rendering treatment with antihemophilic factors less effective or ineffective. This risk is recognized as being significantly higher in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with		development of inhibitors (ie, neutralizing
factors less effective or ineffective. This risk is recognized as being significantly higher in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with		antibodies) against FVIII or VWF,
is recognized as being significantly higher in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with		rendering treatment with antihemophilic
in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in approximately 30% of PUPs with		factors less effective or ineffective. This risk
approximately 30% of PUPs with		is recognized as being significantly higher
		in PUPs. Inhibitors to FVIII occur in
		approximately 30% of PUPs with
hemophilia A (Hashemi el al, 2015). A		hemophilia A (Hashemi el al, 2015). A
recent publication (Peyvandi et al, 2016)		1
reported inhibitor development in 26,8% of		1 1
PUPs treated with pdFVIII within the first		1
50 EDs. Of note, the clinical relevance of		
inhibitor development overall, depends on		· · ·
the titer of the inhibitor, with low titer		
inhibitors (0.6 to $< 5 \text{ BU/mL}$) which are		
transiently present or remain consistently		
low titer posing less of a risk of insufficient		1 0
clinical response than high titer inhibitors (\geq		clinical response than high titer inhibitors (\geq

II.B Summary of important risks

	5 BU/mL) (EMA PRAC, Referral under Article 31 of Directive 2001/83/EC Factor VIII 2017). Alloantibodies against VWF are a rare complication, the prevalence in type 3
	VWD is 6 to 10%. It is important to highlight that all reported cases have occurred in severe or type 3 VWD; there are no reports of VWF alloantibody development in either type 1 or type 2 VWD. Recently, the first results of the 3
	WINTER-IPS-project, which included a large cohort of 260 type 3 VWD patients, showed a 6% prevalence of alloantibodies in type 3 VWD patients (Stufano et al, 2019). It is thought that this occurs in about 10 to
	15% of patients with type 3 VWD, who have received multiple transfusions (Federici, 2009, Mannucci, 2001). A recent case-report of a 6-year-old girl with type 3 von Willebrand disease, in whom inhibitors
	were sought due to ineffective haemostasis in addition to a lower than expected VWF recoveries after a surgical procedure, provides further evidence that alloantibodies develop in severe or type 3 VWD (Faganel
	Kotnik et al, 2020). In 2015 Baaij et al reported the first case of alloantibodies in a 35-year-old woman with type 2B VWD (Baaij et al, 2015). Although the pathophysiological mechanism is not fully
	understood, this case clearly demonstrates that antibody formation should be considered in non-type 3 VWD as well, when insufficient recovery of VWF:RCo is observed after administration of VWF
Risk factors and risk groups	concentrate. Risk factors for FVIII inhibitor formation include both patient and treatment-related factors (Coppola et al, 2010; Chambost, 2010; Collins et al, 2013; EMA PRAC Rapporteur's Updated Assessment Report, Referral under Article 31 of Directive
	 2001/83/EC Factor VIII, 2017): Patient related: Ethnic group: 2 to 5-fold increase associated with patients of Hispanic

	and African origin compared to
	Caucasians
	• Host-related high risk gene
	mutations: such as null mutations,
	larger deletions, intron 1 and 22
	inversion, and small missense
	mutations
	• Age: inhibitors are likely to develop
	in patients < 5 years and > 60 years
	Major histocompatibility complex
	genotype (human leukocyte antigen
	Class I type)
	Polymorphisms of immune response
	genes (interleukin-10, tumor
	necrosis factor, cytotoxic T-
	lymphocyte-associated protein-4)
	• Severity of disease: severe HA
	population are more at risk of
	inhibitor development than mild or
	moderate hemophilia
	Previous history of inhibitors
	Recent pro-inflammatory conditions
	such as bleed, infections,
	vaccinations, etc., called danger
	signals
	Treatment Related:
	• Treatment-related EDs: risk is
	highest during early exposure, with a
	median time of inhibitor presentation
	at approximately 10 to 15 EDs, and
	risk subsequently falling after 50
	EDs and intensive exposure and
	surgical procedures due to
	administration of high amounts of
	FVIII concentrates.
	• Early FVIII treatment exposure
	Switching FVIII products
	• Risk factors for development of anti-
	VWF alloantibodies include Type 3
	VWD patients who receive multiple
	transfusions (Federici, 2009;
	Mannucci, 2001)
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures:
	Routine fisk minimization measures.
	SmPC Section 4.2
	SmPC Section 4.8
	5 of 9

Page **5** of **9**

	SmPC Section 4.4 where advice is given on monitoring for development of neutralizing antibodies and management of patients with high levels of inhibitor to be directed by physicians with experience in the care of hemophilia and FVIII inhibitors, and information is given about risk factors and clinical relevance of inhibitors
Additional	None
pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities:
	Participation in EUHASS

BU=Bethesda Unit; ED=Exposure Day; EUHASS=European Haemophilia Safety Surveillance System; FVIII=Coagulation Factor VIII; HCV= Hepatitis C Virus; HIV=Human Immunodeficiency Virus; pdVWF= plasma derived von Willebrand Factor; SmPC=Summary of Product Characteristics; TEE=Thromboembolic Event; VWD= von Willebrand Disease, VWF= von Willebrand Factor

Important identified risk: Embolic and Th	rombotic events (TEEs)
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Data obtained from literature and the company safety database.
	TEEs are known class effects of FVIII/VWF plasma derived products. There have been reported cases with Haemate P providing evidence of a causal association. The evidence source indicate that thromboembolic events have impact on patients in terms of severity/seriousness. Although these events primarily occur in patients with underlying risk factors, thromboembolic events are considered an important identified risk for Haemate P.
Risk factors and risk groups	Patients with VWD or HA who are receiving high levels of FVIII/VWF concentrate. Additional risk factors for TEEs in the targeted population are the same as in the general population. Patients with hemophilia and VWD now have better long-term survival, therefore, the risks are similar as in the general population and include (Geerts et al, 2008); Previtali et al, 2011):
Page 6 of 9	

Venous thrombosis risks: 		
• Hypertension• Hypercholesterolemia• Peripheral vascular disease• Diabetes• ObesityRisk minimization measuresRoutine risk minimization measures:SmPC Section 4.8 and 4.9SmPC Section 4.4 where advice is given on monitoring patients at risk for early signs of thrombosis, that prophylaxis against venous thrombosis should be instituted and on monitoring plasma levels of FVIII:C and considering antithrombotic measures:AdditionalAdditional risk minimization measures: None		 Pregnancy Hormone replacement therapy Surgery Immobilization Trauma Cancer
• Diabetes • ObesityRisk minimization measuresRisk minimization measuresSmPC Section 4.8 and 4.9SmPC Section 4.4 where advice is given on monitoring patients at risk for early signs of thrombosis, that prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism should be instituted and on monitoring plasma levels of FVIII:C and considering antithrombotic measures: NoneAdditionalAdditional pharmacovigilance activities:		HypertensionHypercholesterolemia
SmPC Section 4.8 and 4.9 SmPC Section 4.4 where advice is given on monitoring patients at risk for early signs of thrombosis, that prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism should be instituted and on monitoring plasma levels of FVIII:C and considering antithrombotic measures. Additional risk minimization measures: None Additional Additional pharmacovigilance activities:		• Diabetes
SmPC Section 4.4 where advice is given on monitoring patients at risk for early signs of thrombosis, that prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism should be instituted and on monitoring plasma levels of FVIII:C and considering antithrombotic measures.Additional risk minimization measures: NoneAdditionalAdditional pharmacovigilance activities:	Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures:
Monitoring patients at risk for early signs of thrombosis, that prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism should be instituted and on monitoring plasma levels of FVIII:C and considering antithrombotic measures.Additional risk minimization measures: NoneAdditional pharmacovigilance activities:		SmPC Section 4.8 and 4.9
None Additional Additional pharmacovigilance activities:		monitoring patients at risk for early signs of thrombosis, that prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism should be instituted and on monitoring plasma levels of FVIII:C and
	Additional	Additional pharmacovigilance activities:
	pharmacovigilance activities	Participation in EUHASS

BU=Bethesda Unit; ED=Exposure Day; EUHASS=European Haemophilia Safety Surveillance System; FVIII=Coagulation Factor VIII; HCV= Hepatitis C Virus; HIV=Human Immunodeficiency Virus; SmPC=Summary of Product Characteristics; TEE=Thromboembolic Event; VWD= von Willebrand Disease, VWF= von Willebrand Factor

Important potential risk: Transmission	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Data obtained from literature and the company safety database.
	The potential for transmitting infectious agents is a known class effect of all blood/plasma-driven products. There have been reported cases with Haemate P; none of them provided evidence of confirmed cases of transmission of infectious agents. For these reasons, potential for transmission of infectious agents is considered an important potential risk for Haemate P.
Risk factors and risk groups	 Infectious agents such as HCV and HIV can be transmitted by exposure to other blood products, intravenous drug abuse, sexual contact and maternal-infant exposure (Shepard et al, 2005; UNAIDS report, 2010). Parvovirus B19 is a common infectious pathogen in humans and is acquired during childhood.
Risk minimization measures	 <u>Routing risk minimization measures:</u> SmPC Section 4.4 where advice is given on considering appropriate vaccination (hepatitis A and B) and recording the name and batch number of the product and on standard measures to prevent infections resulting from the use of medicinal products prepared from human blood or plasma including: Selection of donors Screening of individual donations and plasma pools for specific markers of infection Inclusion of effective manufacturing steps for the inactivation/removal of viruses
	Additional risk minimization measures: None
Additional	Additional pharmacovigilance activities:
pharmacovigilance activities	Participation in EUHASS ASS=European Haemophilia Safety Surveillance

BU=Bethesda Unit; ED=Exposure Day; EUHASS=European Haemophilia Safety Surveillance System; FVIII=Coagulation Factor VIII; HA=Hemophilia A; HCV= Hepatitis C Virus; HIV=Human Immunodeficiency Virus; SmPC=Summary of Product Characteristics; TEE=Thromboembolic Event; VWD= von Willebrand Disease, VWF= von Willebrand Factor

II.C Post-authorization development plan

II.C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization

There are no studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization or specific obligation of Haemate P.

II.C.2 Other studies in post-authorization development plan

Haemophilia Network Registry: EUHASS

Purpose of the study: CSL Behring participates in this ongoing pharmacovigilance program monitoring the safety of treatments for people with inherited bleeding disorders in Europe to obtain long-term post-marketing safety data.